

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,300	12/12/2001	Dominick Venezia	12272	5606	
7	590 04/10/2003	•			
Richard W. Goldstein			EXAMINER		
2071 Clove Road Staten Island, NY 10304			THISSELL, J	THISSELL, JENNIFER I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3635		
		DATE MAILED: 04/10/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A /			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/015,300	VENEZIA, DOMINICK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MANUALO DATE (CIL)	Jennifer I Thissell	3635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address 4			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 E	<u>December 2001</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	ince except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/015,300

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 2 are indefinite because they initially claim the subcombination of a roof venting/cover assembly (the roof is only recited in functional language), however, the claims then later recite a combination with the roof (i.e. "within the opening in the soffit"). It is unclear whether applicant intends to claim the subcombination or the combination, therefore, for purposes of examination in this first Office Action, the Examiner is considering the claims to be drawn to the subcombination of the roof venting/cover assembly only. Applicant is advised to clearly amend the claims in order to recite either the combination or subcombination, and to be consistent throughout the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/015,300

Art Unit: 3635

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mantyla ('516). Mantyla teaches a housing that includes a narrow upper duct portion 20, a wide lower scoop portion 36, the narrow upper portion has an open upper end, the lower portion has an open lower end, there is a cover member 14 openably secured to the open upper end of the duct portion, there is a ventilation grate 30 secured over the open lower end of the lower portion, the cover member includes a peripheral frame (as seen in Figure 1 around 14) around the open upper end, and the peripheral frame includes a raised peripheral lip (as seen in Figure 1) extending upwardly from the inner edge thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mantyla ('516) in view of Calandra ('386). Mantyla teaches a

Application/Control Number: 10/015,300

Art Unit: 3635

venting/cover assembly as stated above, but does not include a cover member with an openable lid hingedly coupled with the raised peripheral lip on the open upper end. Calandra teaches that it is known to provide an openable lid 24 that is hingedly coupled with a raised peripheral lip of a cover on a duct system. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place an openable lid on Mantyla's vent opening (at 14) in order to reduce unwanted air flow through the vent system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer I Thissell whose telephone number is (703) 306-5750. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on (703) 308-0839. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

'Application/Control Number: 10/015,300

Art Unit: 3635

JIT

April 6, 2003

Carl D. Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600